

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,340	11/26/2003	Sung Gi Hwang	0465-1089P	8378	
2292	7590 12/17/2	04	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	TEWART KOLASO	ESTREMSKY, C	ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676		
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

			/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/721,340	HWANG, SUNG GI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary Estremsky	3676			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•		,			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		 s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on 11/26/03 is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmer	it(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 11, 13, 14, and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2 and 13; last line of each - "to hold to guide" should be rewritten as -to hold and guide- or equivalent.

Claim 11; recitation of "the first and second reception parts" lacks clear antecedent basis in the claim.

Claim 14 - "lath" should be replaced with -latch-.

Claim 16; recitation of "the coupling holes" lacks clear antecedent basis in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3676

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 3,603,631 to White.

White '631 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "hook" - 29, provided at one side of the door of the drier" - 18, "hanging portion at both sides" - enlarged portions of 29 for retaining, a "latch body" - including 26, a "pair of holders" - left and right (as shown in Fig 5) indented retaining portions of 24, a "pair of springs" the left and right half circles of part 24 that bias its retaining ends toward each other.

As regards claim 6, limitation of "triangular" must be interpreted broadly consistent with Applicant's use of the term to describe the disclosed invention which is not a triangle.

As regards claim 9, inasmuch as the confronting ends of 24 are not shown to have a definite vertex, they are interpreted as reading on broad limitation of "rounded".

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,082,787 to Chioffi.

Chioffi '787 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "hook" including A as shown on the face of the Patent, provided at one side of the door of the drier" - P noting that recitation of "drier" amounts to little more than intended use since no 'drying' structure is claimed. It has been held that a

Art Unit: 3676

recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Regardless, it's well known that dishwashers, as disclosed dry dishes. Chioffi '787 also teaches: "hanging portion at both sides" - enlarged portions of A on either side (not symmetric) for retaining, a "latch body" - including 3, a "pair of holders" - 8b,9b in Fig 3, a "pair of springs" - 10,11.

As regards claim 2, Chioffi '787 teaches a "first reception part" - including aperture at 3, a "pair of second reception parts" - channels receiving 8a,9a.

As regards claim 6, as shown in Fig 2.

As regards claim11, Chioffi '787 illustrates structure on the entry or front side of the portions 8,9 and on the rear side where either structure reads on "latch cap".

5. Claims 1-5, 9, 11-13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 2,869,952 to Saunders.

Saunders '952 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "hook" - 29, provided at one side of the door" noting that recitation of "of the drier" amounts to little more than intended use of the door since no 'drying' structure is claimed. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Saunders '952 also teaches:

Art Unit: 3676

"hanging portion at both sides" - enlarged portions of 29 on either side for retaining, a "latch body" - including 31, a "pair of holders" - including 39,41,43,44, a "pair of springs" - 47,47 as shown.

As regards claim 11, inwardly-facing U-shape channels are shown to enclose the holders portion of the latch as shown in Fig 2 wherein either channel reads on broad limitation of "latch cap".

As regards claim 12, parts 38 read on "fixing protrusions".

As regards claim 13, parts 42 read on "guide protrusions".

As regards claim 16, Saunders '952 teaches "screws" where upper and lower sides (inherent for centered location illustrated in cross-section) of the latch read on "both sides" limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 14 is objected to.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 2,380,568 to Adams.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 2,526,539 to Carroll.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 2,629,156 to Kamens.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 5,096,236 to Thony.

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 703 308-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary/Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676